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In Brief
ΔS-Cys-Albumin, an endogenous marker of plasma and serum (P/S) exposure to thawed conditions (≥ −30 °C) based on the ex vivo S-cysteinylation (oxidizability) of albumin was developed. Average values in fresh P/S samples from a population of nonacute cardiac patients were determined. The multireaction mechanism that drives changes in albumin S-cysteinylation is known and the rate law for it has been established and accurately modeled in P/S. Measurement of ΔS-Cys-Albumin in unknown samples facilitates estimation of thawed-state exposure times.

Graphical Abstract
ΔS-Cys-Albumin: Two Measurements of the S-Cys-Albumin Proteoform to Assess Plasma/Serum Quality Via Albumin’s Oxidizability

Highlights
- Endogenous plasma/serum QC marker that quantifies cumulative sample thawed time.
- Mechanism of marker change known, and rate law established.
- Data interpretation based on documented population averages and rate law.
- Blind-challenge verified; utility proven via exposure of undisclosed freezer outage.
Delta-S-Cys-Albumin: A Lab Test that Quantifies Cumulative Exposure of Archived Human Blood Plasma and Serum Samples to Thawed Conditions*

Joshua W. Jeffs‡, Nilojan Jehanathan‡, Stephanie M. F. Thibert‡, Shadi Ferdosi‡, Linda Pham§, Zachary T. Wilson§¶, Christian Breburda§¶, and Chad R. Borges‡

Exposure of blood plasma/serum (P/S) to thawed conditions (> −30 °C) can produce biomolecular changes that skew measurements of biomarkers within archived patient samples, potentially rendering them unfit for molecular analysis. Because freeze-thaw histories are often poorly documented, objective methods for assessing molecular fitness before analysis are needed. We report a 10-μL, dilute-and-shoot, intact-protein mass spectrometric assay of albumin proteoforms called “ΔS-Cys-Albumin” that quantifies cumulative exposure of archived P/S samples to thawed conditions. The relative abundance of S-cysteinylated (oxidized) albumin in P/S increases inexorably but to a maximum value under 100% when samples are exposed to temperatures > −30 °C. The difference in the relative abundance of S-cysteinylated albumin (S-Cys-Alb) before and after an intentional incubation period that drives this proteoform to its maximum level is denoted as ΔS-Cys-Albumin. ΔS-Cys-Albumin in fully expired samples is zero. The range (mean ± 95% CI) observed for ΔS-Cys-Albumin in fresh cardiac patient P/S (n = 97) was, for plasma 12–29% (20.9 ± 0.75%) and for serum 10–24% (15.5 ± 0.64%). The multireaction rate law that governs S-Cys-Alb formation in P/S was determined and shown to predict the rate of formation of S-Cys-Alb in plasma and serum samples—a step that enables back-calculation of the time at which unknown P/S specimens have been exposed to room temperature. A blind challenge demonstrated that ΔS-Cys-Albumin can detect exposure of groups (n = 6 each) of P/S samples to 23 °C for 2 h, 4 °C for 16 h, or −20 °C for 24 h—and exposure of individual specimens for modestly increased times. An unplanned case study of nominally pristine serum samples collected under NIH-sponsorship demonstrated that empirical evidence is required to ensure accurate knowledge of archived P/S biospecimen storage history. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 18: 2121–2137, 2019. DOI: 10.1074/mcp.TIR119.001659.

Collection, processing, storage and handling expose clinical biospecimens to pre-analytical variables that, when unaccounted for, have the potential to produce misleading results in downstream clinical research. For blood plasma and serum (P/S) samples, numerous pre-analytical variables such as the type of collection tube, degree of tube filling, number of tube inversions, degree of hemolysis, and pre-centrifugation delay can quantitatively impact clinical measurements. Arguably, however, exposure to the thawed state (i.e. temperatures > −30 °C (10, 11)) is the most difficult pre-analytical variable to track and control over the lifetime of a P/S biospecimen—particularly at the individual aliquot level.

There is widespread agreement regarding the need for robust biospecimen quality control (QC)/quality assurance (QA) checks in biomarker discovery and validation work. Yet relatively little research effort focuses on this arena. P/S specimens are among the most commonly employed biospecimens in biomarker-related research but, to date, no gold standard marker of P/S integrity has been identified and put into widespread, routine use. This is evidenced by the fact that despite the recent emphasis on robustness and reproducibility, the U.S. National Cancer Institute (NCI, part of the National Institutes of Health) does not currently require any empirical evidence-based QA thresholds be met before pre-existing P/S specimens are employed in NCI-sponsored research. This QC/QA problem is widespread: In 2014, for example, out of 455 NCI-sponsored extramural grants that involved biospecimens, 287 (63%) relied on pre-existing samples; over 100 of these sponsored projects employed pre-existing P/S (12).

Written documentation that includes the types of specimens analyzed and the specimen storage conditions is now required for manuscript submissions to leading clinical research journals (13–15), but as we have experienced—and
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describe herein—paper trails are insufficient to guarantee disclosure of incidents that may compromise specimen integrity. The possible reasons for this are rarely discussed but may range from poor note taking to conflicts of interest with disclosing incidents that may have resulted in biospecimen damage. Moreover, paper trails lack the ability to rigorously quantify the molecular integrity of specimens that may have experienced minor “exposure” incidents that are either not captured in the documentation or, if they were, cannot be precisely assigned to individual samples or aliquots. Yet if temperature-unstable or even potentially temperature-unstable markers are to be analyzed in a set of P/S samples, it is critically important to know the biomolecular integrity of every sample.

This line of reasoning implies that a gold standard metric of P/S integrity should involve some form of empirical, quantitative biomolecular analysis that, if necessary, could be applied to every sample in a clinical study (whether the sample was collected prospectively or is to be analyzed retrospectively)—even if the study employed thousands of P/S samples. As such, the ideal assay would focus on endogenous analyte(s), require a very low sample volume, require minimal sample preparation, be automatable from the point of fully frozen P/S to the point of generating the final report, and be both inexpensive and rapid. Moreover, the majority, if not all, of the representative molecular alterations caused by avoidable (bio)chemical processes that occur on P/S exposure to the thawed state should be captured by the integrity marker. Such processes include (1) drifting toward an equilibrium state that is never actually reached in vivo, (2) ex vivo oxidation (because of P/S samples taking on a dissolved oxygen concentration of up to 0.25 mM (16, 17)—a concentration that is orders of magnitude higher than that observed in the P/S compartment in vivo where oxygen is primarily carried on hemoglobin inside red blood cells), (3) enzyme-mediated biomolecular degradation, and (4) macromolecular denaturation. Additionally, changes in the QA marker(s) should occur in the same timeframe in which some of the most “fragile” time-sensitive biomolecules within the P/S sample are altered beyond their original in vivo status. And finally, if intended as generally representative, thawed-state sensitive changes in QA marker(s) should neither be inhibited nor accelerated by stabilization practices that focus on a single mechanism of ex vivo alteration such as protease inhibitors or heat-based “inactivation.”

Together, these characteristics are quite stringent and are unlikely to be met by a single QA analyte or assay. As such, it should at least be possible to link changes in the QA marker to changes in specific analytes of interest by analyzing both concurrently. When linked empirically, this is possible for most imaginable QA markers. However, the best QA markers will be those for which a (bio)chemical rate law can be determined. This will make it possible for the marker(s) to serve as a molecular stopwatch for the timespan of thawed-state exposure and effectively place an exposure time stamp on each sample. With the rate law for the QA marker established, it would be possible for any investigator to link their assay(s) of interest to the QA marker by simply following good assay development guidelines and conducting a stability time course with their clinical analyte(s) of interest.

In 2014 we reported that two of the most abundant P/S proteins, albumin and apolipoprotein A-I, were susceptible to ex vivo oxidation events that occur over two nonoverlapping time segments in P/S specimens exposed to temperatures >−30 °C—yet the proteins were stable when P/S was kept at −80 °C. These proteins were measured in a single dilute-and-shoot LC-MS assay of the intact proteins that required less than 1 μl of P/S (18). It was clear that albumin oxidation (S-cysteinylination of its single free cysteine residue) would meet most of the ideal QA marker specifications described above, but the in vivo reference range for the percentage of albumin in this oxidized state and the multireaction rate law for the formation of S-cysteinylated albumin were not known. Subsequently (and as reported herein), we made measurements that provided an estimate of the population reference range for the fraction of albumin in its S-cysteinylated form (S-Cys-Alb), but found that it was close to the maximum degree of S-cysteinylated obtained by some samples ex vivo—i.e. once albumin had consumed all of the free cysteine (Cys) and cystine (Cys-Cys) equivalents present. This limited, in theory, the useable dynamic range of S-Cys-Alb as a P/S QA marker. Nevertheless, the population reference range for albumin in P/S is known (19) and those for Cys and Cys-Cys in plasma are well estimated (20, 21). Thus assuming that albumin is the only significant oxidative consumer of Cys equivalents, it is possible to calculate that if S-Cys-Alb is measured in a fresh plasma sample then intentionally driven to its maximum possible value ex vivo (18), 99% of the human population under age 60 should experience a change in S-Cys-Alb between these two measurements (ΔS-Cys-Alb) of 11−30%. (This range increases in persons over age 60 to 17−38% because albumin concentrations decrease (22) and Cys-Cys concentrations increase (20) with age.) Charge deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of albumin that illustrate the ΔS-Cys-Alb phenomenon are provided in Fig. 1. Given that the inter-assay precision for measurement of S-Cys-Alb is 1.6% (18) and thus for ΔS-Cys-Alb is 2.2% (23), we intentionally pursued ΔS-Cys-Alb as a QA marker for exposure of P/S samples to the thawed state.

Here we report development of an assay for ΔS-Cys-Alb, estimates for the population reference ranges for S-Cys-Alb and ΔS-Cys-Alb, and the multireaction rate law for formation of S-Cys-Alb at room temperature (which facilitates back-
**S-Cys-Alb and ΔS-Cys-Alb Assay—Sample Preparation:** P/S samples are prepared for injection onto the LC-MS by 1000x dilution in 0.1% (v/v) TFA. Typically, 0.5 or 1 μl was mixed with 500 or 1000 μl of 0.1% TFA. (Once diluted, S-Cys-Alb measurements are stable for over 16 h at 10 °C.) For measurement of ΔS-Cys-Alb, 9 μl of residual P/S sample was then placed into a 0.6-ml Eppendorf snap-cap polycarbonate test tube and incubated in an oven set at 37 °C for 18 h. Following this period, the sample was diluted 1000× in 0.1% TFA then injected onto the LC-MS. The difference in S-Cys-Alb before and after this 18-h incubation at 37 °C constitutes ΔS-Cys-Alb. Notably, for all measurements of S-Cys-Alb, matched plasma and serum samples were prepared by the same analyst and analyzed one right after another (interspersed) on the LC-MS instrument.

**Rate Law Determination—** The rate law for formation of S-Cys-Alb in the P/S environment is governed by the chemical reactions listed in Eqs. 1–2 (see Results section). The rate law for Eq. 2 was previously determined by Kachur et al. (26). Thus, to obtain a complete combined rate law for formation of S-Cys-Alb, the rate laws for the forward and reverse reactions described in Eq. 1 were determined using the method of initial rates (27). Detailed methodological information is provided in supplemental information.
RESULTS

Development of the ΔS-Cys-Alb Assay

Time and Temperature for the Ex Vivo Incubation—The highest temperature to which human P/S is exposed in its normal in vivo environment is 37 °C; as such, this temperature was chosen as the intentional ex vivo incubation temperature for the ΔS-Cys-Alb assay. To determine the time required to maximize S-Cys-Alb, as well as the impact of blood collection type and the effect of varying Cys and Cys-Cys concentrations on the time required to reach a maximum value of S-Cys-Alb, a matched collection of K$_2$EDTA plasma, sodium heparin plasma, and serum from a healthy donor was obtained and S-Cys-Alb was measured in the freshly processed samples. Portions of each specimen were then fortified with an additional 1 μM Cys and 10 μM Cys-Cys or 2 μM Cys and 20 μM Cys-Cys. These added concentrations represent ~1 and 2 standard deviations (SDs) of the mean values of ~10 μM Cys and ~62 μM Cys-Cys seen in the plasma of typical donors (20, 21). Nine-microliter aliquots of each unique P/S sample were then incubated in sealed 0.6-ml tubes at 37 °C and S-Cys-Alb was measured at 4, 18, 24 and 30 h time points. (Three separate 9-μl aliquots were made for each time point.) Differences between matched serum and plasma were negligible, with all specimens reaching their maximum value of S-Cys-Alb by 18 h. Addition of Cys and Cys-Cys to the samples resulted in a higher maximum value of S-Cys-Alb but did not alter the time required to reach it (supplemental Fig. S1).

ΔS-Cys-Alb Assay Precision, Linearity, Accuracy, Sensitivity, and Limits of Detection—As stated in the Introduction, we previously determined the inter-assay precision for measurements of S-Cys-Alb to be 1.6% (18). Because ΔS-Cys-Alb is the difference between two S-Cys-Alb measurements, the precision of ΔS-Cys-Alb can be calculated by propagating the error for this subtractive operation (23); when done so it is found to be 2.2%. Thus, for a ΔS-Cys-Albumin value of 20%, this corresponds to an inter-assay %CV of 11%.

The linearity of S-Cys-Alb measurements was determined by mixing known ratios of fully reduced and fully oxidized (S-cysteinylated) albumin in 10% increments from 0 to 100% S-Cys-Alb at a final concentration of 0.78 mM. Samples were then diluted 500-fold in 0.1% TFA and analyzed in technical replicates at each ratio (supplemental Fig. S2). The slope ± S.E., y-intercept ± S.E., and R$^2$ value of the least-squares linear regression line were 1.0 ± 0.011, 0.76 ± 0.66, and 0.998, respectively. The slope of 1.0 indicates that the assay has unit sensitivity (i.e. change in instrument read-out per unit change in known relative concentration). Limits of detection were not determined as this figure of merit is not important to this assay given that >99% of the U.S. population has P/S albumin concentrations in the range of 490–810 μM (19). Likewise, there is no need for measurements of S-Cys-Alb in actual P/S that are <5% or >95% (see next section).

Overall accuracy was determined based on each data point from the aforementioned linearity experiment (supplemental Fig. S2). The average deviation from the known percent abundance of S-Cys-Alb was 0.78; the average absolute deviation was 1.2.

Measurement of S-Cys-Alb and ΔS-Cys-Alb in Fresh Samples from Cardiac Patients

Population Estimates—Nonacute cardiac patients presenting with chest pain suggestive of coronary artery disease undergoing coronary angiogram, cardiac stress test and/or coronary computed tomography angiography at the recommendation of a cardiologist are likely to be individuals under continual low to moderate levels of systemic oxidative stress—a situation that could potentially raise their endogenous levels of S-Cys-Alb above that of nominally healthy individuals. As such, these cardiac patients represented a clinical population that could potentially pose a challenge to the theoretically usable dynamic range of the ΔS-Cys-Alb assay. To estimate the typical values of S-Cys-Alb and ΔS-Cys-Alb observed in fresh samples from these patients, matched K$_2$EDTA plasma and serum samples were collected from 106 of them. P/S specimens were collected under rigorous guidelines (see Materials and Methods) to ensure the highest possible sample quality. Accordingly, samples from 9 patients were excluded because of hemolysis ≥ 250 mg/dL (n = 7) or patient history of hemodialysis/kidney failure (n = 2; eGFR < 30 ml/min*1.73 m$^2$). Fresh K$_2$EDTA plasma and serum were found to have similar but significantly different values of S-Cys-Alb (paired t test, p < 0.001; Fig. 2). Following incubation of 9-μl aliquots at 37 °C for 18 h, S-Cys-Alb was measured again and the difference between the two measurements was recorded as ΔS-Cys-Alb. Both the maximum value of S-Cys-Alb and ΔS-Cys-Alb were found to be significantly higher in plasma than serum (paired t test, p < 0.001; Fig. 2). All distributions were Gaussian (D’Agostino and Pearson normality test; p > 0.05). The mean value of ΔS-Cys-Alb in cardiac patient plasma ± 95% CI of mean was 20.9% ± 0.75% and in serum was 15.5% ± 0.64%. Standard deviations were 3.7% and 3.2%, respectively. Empirically, these values suggest that ΔS-Cys-Alb values in 95% of fresh cardiac patient plasma and serum samples will fall in the ranges of 14–28% and 9.1–22%, respectively.

Time Courses—Fifty-microliter aliquots of matched serum and plasma from three patients selected from each of the three tertiles of the population distributions for ΔS-Cys-Alb were incubated at 23 °C, 4 °C, and −20 °C for 4, 28, and 65 days, respectively (Fig. 3). Separate 50-μl aliquots were employed for each time point. Inter-individual variability in the (nonlinear) rates of decay of ΔS-Cys-Alb in both serum and plasma was evident at all temperatures.
Fig. 2. S-Cys-Alb and ΔS-Cys-Alb in fresh, rapidly processed samples from cardiac patients. **A**, S-Cys-Alb and maxed-out S-Cys-Alb observed in fresh samples from matched K$_2$EDTA plasma and serum samples from cardiac patients undergoing coronary angiogram, cardiac stress test and/or coronary computed tomography angiography. **B**, ΔS-Cys-Alb, calculated from panel **A** by taking individual sample differences between maxed-out S-Cys-Alb and S-Cys-Alb. Error bars represent mean ± S.D.; $n = 97$ per group; * indicates a significant difference between means of indicated groups with $p < 0.0001$, paired t-tests.

Fig. 3. Time courses for ΔS-Cys-Alb decay in matched serum and plasma from three separate patients (as indicated by patient numbers in the figure legends) at **A–B**, 23 °C; **C–D**, 4 °C, and **E–F**, −20 °C. Lines drawn are meant to serves as visual guides.
Quantitative Model for Ex vivo Formation of S-Cys-Alb

Determination of Rate Laws—The major reactions that govern the ex vivo formation of S-Cys-Alb in P/S include:

\[ \text{AlbSH} + \text{Cys} \rightarrow \text{SCysAlb} + \text{Cys} \]  
\[ \text{2Cys} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cys-Cys} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \]  

(Eq. 1)

(Eq. 2)

where AlbSH is the native reduced form of albumin, Cys-Cys is cystine, SCysAlb is S-cysteinylated albumin, and Cys is cysteine.

The average initial starting concentrations of each reactant and product in P/S are known. As such, knowledge of the rate law governing the formation of S-Cys-Alb coupled with measurement of S-Cys-Alb could, in theory, provide an estimate of the time spent by P/S samples at the temperature at which the rate law was determined. The rate law for Eq. 2 was previously determined by Kachur et al. (26); the rate laws for the forward and reverse reactions in Eq. 1 were determined here at 23 °C.

Eq. 1 is initially linear in both the forward and reverse directions (Fig. 4). Thus, to be able to model a simultaneous system of chemical reactions comprised of Eqs. 1 and 2, the forward and reverse rate laws for Eq. 1 were determined using the method of initial rates (27). To obtain albumin in fully reduced or fully oxidized form, high purity human serum albumin was fully reduced with Cys or fully oxidized with Cys-Cys, then spin-filtered to purify and concentrate the protein. Verification of complete reduction and oxidation was carried out by LC-MS analysis of the intact protein following alkylation with maleimide to verify that no structural disulfide bonds were reduced (supplemental Fig. S3). Starting conditions for all incubations employed to determine the forward and reverse rate laws for Eq. 1 are provided in supplemental Tables S1 and S2, respectively. The slopes of plots of log \( v_o \) (initial rate) versus log [reactant concentration] (for several different co-reactant concentrations) revealed that the reaction order for all species in Eq. 1 was 1 (Fig. 5). Subsequently, the data in supplemental Table S1 were fit to the equation:

\[ v_o = k_1[\text{AlbSH}][\text{Cys}][\text{Cys}] \]  

(Eq. 3)

where \( v_o \) is the initial reaction rate in M/s and nonlinear regression was employed to determine that \( k_1 = 0.095 \pm 0.017 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). Likewise, the data in supplemental Table S2 were fit to the equation

\[ v_o = k_2[\text{SCysAlb}][\text{Cys}] \]  

(Eq. 4)

and nonlinear regression was employed to determine that \( k_2 = 3.37 \pm 0.44 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). Given that \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) were determined at 23 °C, their values are consistent with the values of 0.6 ± 0.1 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 6.6 ± 0.4 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively, that were recently determined by Bocedi et al. at 37 °C (28).

Once the forward and reverse rate laws for Eq. 1 had been determined, a series of differential equations that simultaneously consider the chemical reactions in Eqs. 1 and 2, and their respective rate laws, were assembled:
In Equations 5–8, $[\text{Cu(II)}]$ is the total concentration of copper (which stays constant), and $K_y$ and $K_z$ represent the first and second equilibrium dissociation constants pertaining to Cys complexation of Cu(II) that are involved in the copper-catalyzed oxidation of Cys to Cys-Cys at pH 7.4 as described by Kachur et al. (26). Eqs. 5–8 cannot be solved explicitly, but numerical solutions at any point in time are obtainable once all constants and starting concentrations are supplied.

Assessment of Quantitative Model in Serum and Plasma—To evaluate the ability of the combined rate law model (Eqs. 5–8) to predict formation of S-Cys-Alb in actual serum and plasma, matched serum and plasma were collected from a healthy donor. Serum was collected into and handled in trace metal-free tubes to facilitate quantification of copper. Initial concentrations of S-Cys-Alb, AlbSH, Cys-Cys and free and total copper for use in the predictive model were made as described in Materials and Methods. Measurement of Cys was deemed unnecessary because according to the model, by the time serum or plasma are processed from whole blood the concentration of Cys drops to a steady state at about $5 \mu M$—regardless of whether or not the initial concentration starts at the low or high end of physiological Cys concentrations observed in human plasma (supplemental Fig. S4)—and which, in terms of Cys equivalents, is within the error of Cys-Cys quantification.
Immediately following collection, aliquots for measurement of initial reactant and product concentrations were created and sent out for analysis or kept in-house at /H11002 80 °C until they were analyzed. A 100-/H9262 l aliquot of each specimen in a closed 1.5-ml snap-cap tube was then incubated at 23 °C for 4 days on a rotating vortex mixer (200 RPM), with numerous measurements of S-Cys-Alb collected initially and then at least once a day after Day 1. The data were then fit with the predictive model, using the empirically determined initial concentrations of all species along with rate and equilibrium constants pertinent to the model, determined as described above (k1 and k2; Eq. 1 at 23 °C) or as previously determined (k3, Ky and Kz; Eq. 2 at 37 °C (26)) (Fig. 6). To best approximate the latter three parameters at the actual temperature of the

**Fig. 6.** Observed and rate law model-predicted formation of S-Cys-Alb in matched A, serum and B, K3EDTA plasma from a healthy donor. Circles represent natural, unfortified serum or plasma containing initially measured concentrations of AlbSH = 609 μM (serum) or 605 μM (plasma); S-Cys-Alb = 134 μM (serum) or 138 μM (plasma); Cys-Cys = 52 μM (serum) or 58 μM (plasma); Cys = 5 μM (inferred, not measured, see “Results” text and supplemental Fig. S4); and Cu(II) = 12.6 μM. Dashed lines represent rate model-predicted trajectories for S-Cys-Alb formation based on numerical solutions to Eqs. 5–8 employing the rate and equilibrium constant parameters described in the main text.
experiment (23 °C), a value of −50 kJ/mol was estimated as the enthalpy of reaction per Cys ligand binding to Cu(II) based on the known enthalpy of Cys binding to other divalent cations (29) (the value for binding to Cu(II) is unknown). Integration of the van’t Hoff equation provides the following formula to estimate the change in an equilibrium association constant ($K$) with temperature ($T$) given the estimated change in enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$) (30):

$$\ln K = \ln K_0 - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_1} \right)$$ (Eq. 9)

where $R$ is the ideal gas constant. Application of this formula to $K_0$ and $K_n$ resulted in a 2.5-fold decrease in each value (to $2.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{M}$ and $3.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}$, respectively). The same factor was applied to estimate $k_3$ as 0.13 s$^{-1}$ as well—a factor in the middle of the range of the fold-change expected for a 14 °C decrease in temperature (27)$^2$. All plasma copper was assumed to be catalytically available and half of serum copper, 95% of which is bound to ceruloplasmin (33), was assumed to be catalytically available because only about 40% of ceruloplasmin-bound copper resides in the Cu(II) oxidation state (34). The RMSD fit (expressed as %CV) of the model to serum was 6.1% and that for $K_{nc}$EDTA plasma was 6.3% (Fig. 6). Models in which only free copper, only the bound to ceruloplasmin, or all copper in serum were assumed to be catalytically available reveal that, as modeled, a major portion of bound copper must indeed be catalytically available (supplemental Fig. S5). Additional models in which $K_0$, $K_n$, and $k_3$ were run at their 37 °C-values and 10-fold below these values are also provided to illustrate how shifts in these parameters impact the kinetic model (supplemental Fig. S6).

Before initial freezing, separate aliquots of the matched serum and plasma samples were spiked with Cys and Cys-Cys (in a minimal volume of HBS buffer, pH 7.4) to increase the concentration of Cys by 12 μM and the concentration of Cys-Cys by 62 μM—putting these concentrations into a super-physiological range at twice the normal concentrations observed in normal human plasma (20, 21). Such concentrations of Cys and Cys-Cys have only been observed in patients with kidney failure (35). This experiment was conducted in order to test the hypothesis that oxygen could become rate-limiting under the extreme concentrations of Cys and Cys-Cys that can sometimes be observed in samples from patients with kidney failure. The observed albumin S-cysteinylated trajectory in the matched serum and plasma samples that were fortified with 62 μM Cys-Cys and 12 μM Cys did not match the model predictions in which oxygen is assumed to never become rate-limiting (supplemental Fig. S7). Taken together, the observed versus modeled results (Fig. 6 and supplemental Figs. S5–S7) reveal that a kinetics model in which oxygen is assumed to never become rate-limiting may be applicable to P/S samples with physiologically normal concentrations of Cys and Cys-Cys (Fig. 6), but that a new model that includes (depends on) $[O_2(aq)]$ as a reactant must be developed to accurately predict S-Cys-Alb formation kinetics in P/S samples from patients with kidney failure. Simulation results from a currently speculative model in which parameters for 1) the rate constant for re-oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(II) by $O_2(aq)$ and 2) a constant that defines continual seepage of $O_2$ into the reaction vessel are estimated and provided in supplemental Fig. S8. This model suggests that by accounting for $[O_2(aq)]$, it will likely be possible to use a single model to predict the kinetics of S-Cys-Alb formation in P/S from both physiologically normal patients and from renal failure patients that contain high concentrations of Cys and Cys-Cys.

To evaluate the practical effect on S-Cys-Alb of processing plasma normally then storing samples under an inert atmosphere in sealed vials, parallel incubations of freshly collected plasma in air and under a nitrogen atmosphere (using a Spilfyter “Hands-in-a-Bag” artificial atmospheric chamber) were conducted. Initial rates of S-Cys-Alb formation under the two atmospheres were nearly identical, but eventually diverged and resulted in a modestly lower maximum concentration of S-Cys-Alb in the sample incubated under nitrogen (Fig. 7). These experimental results compare favorably with the $[O_2(aq)]$-dependent model in which a modest concentration of $O_2(aq)$ (70 μM) is assumed at the outset but no further

2 In a simple system consisting of only buffer, Cu$^{2+}$, and Cys, Eq. 2 exhibits two different kinetics phases—the first of which is faster and runs until, nominally, the molar concentration of Cys is equal to that of Cu$^{2+}$ (26, 31). In the absence of a second co-reaction (i.e., Eq. 1) that generates fresh free thiol-containing Cys, all Cys is then bound to copper; hydrogen peroxide then accumulates in the absence of free Cys and subsequently drives the second reaction phase, the rate constant for which, at 37 °C, is 4.25-fold lower than the rate constant of the first phase (26). In P/S, Eq. 1 continually generates fresh Cys; moreover, the catalase concentration in P/S is about 50 U/mL (32)—a quantity sufficient to prevent accumulation of hydrogen peroxide and therefore the second reaction phase (26)—as such, only the rate constant for phase 1 of Eq. 2 was considered in the models presented here.
O₂ is allowed to enter the unfortified sample over time (supplemental Fig. S8, panel n, predicted versus observed unfortified samples).

**Blind Challenges of ΔS-Cys-Alb as a Marker of P/S Exposure to the Thawed State**

Two separate challenges were conducted for ΔS-Cys-Alb as a marker of P/S exposure to thawed conditions in which the analyst was blinded to sample identities. The first study was a group-wise challenge wherein discrete groups of samples were either exposed to thawed conditions or properly stored at −80 °C as groups. The second blind challenge involved proper storage or mistreatment of discrete, individual samples. Before unblinding, the analyst was only aware that there would be a group-wise challenge and an individual sample challenge; he was unaware of any other aspect of the experimental design described below.

**Group-wise Blind Challenge**—Matched plasma and serum were collected from the same individual on three separate days, all spaced at least 6 days apart. This produced six unique but not highly disparate samples. Ten 50-μl aliquots were created from each sample, creating ten groups, each of which contained one aliquot each of the original six specimens. These groups were then randomly assigned: two groups were kept continually at −80 °C, and one group was subjected to each of the following eight conditions: 23 °C for 2 h, 23 °C for 4 h, 23 °C for 6 h, 23 °C for 8 h, 4 °C for 8 h, 4 °C for 16 h, −20 °C for 24 h, and −20 °C for 48 h. The samples were then given to the analyst with only a coded identifier on it. ΔS-Cys-Alb was then measured in each sample (Fig. 8A). The distributions of all data sets overlapped to some degree, indicating that it would likely be difficult to distinguish control group(s) from mistreated group(s). As such, data were analyzed using a statistical approach designed to limit type II errors (i.e. one-way ANOVA followed by uncorrected Fisher’s LSD with \( p < 0.1 \) serving as the cutoff for statistical significance). Based on this analysis, it was clear that Groups 1 and 3 had higher mean values of ΔS-Cys-Alb than all other groups, except for Group 7, whose status was unclear. Moreover, the ΔS-Cys-Alb values in Groups 1 and 3 were consistent with fresh samples or those kept at −80 °C (cf. Fig. 2). Thus Groups 1 and 3 were named by the analyst as control groups. Group 7 could not be definitively categorized but was guessed/presumed to also be a properly handled control group. All other groups were assigned as having been exposed to thawed conditions of some sort. On unblinding it was revealed that all assignments except for Group 7 had been made correctly (see panel a).

**Individual Sample-Level Blind Challenge**

Ten additional 50-μl aliquots were made from the six samples described in the preceding paragraph, producing a total of 60 specimens. These aliquots were made at the same time as the others to avoid an additional freeze-thaw cycle. Twelve of these specimens \( (n = 2 \) aliquots of each of the original six P/S samples) were kept at −80 °C. One aliquot each of the original six P/S samples was then subjected to each of the following eight conditions: 23 °C for 24 h, 23 °C for 48 h, 23 °C for 72 h, 23 °C for 7 days, 4 °C for 7 days, 4 °C for 14 days, −20 °C for 60 days, −20 °C for 90 days \( (n = 6 \) per condition); controls kept at −80 °C \( (n = 12) \). Out of a total of 70 calls of “control” versus “exposed” that had to be made between these two studies, only one was made incorrectly (see panel a).
were determined based on the means ± 2.58 SDs of the plasma and serum samples represented in Fig. 2B. Thus, ΔS-Cys-Alb values of 11% for plasma and 7.2% for serum were set as the cutoffs for this individual sample-level blind challenge. Using these cutoffs, all 60 individual specimens were categorized correctly (Fig. 8B).

Case Study: Application of ΔS-Cys-Alb to Nominally Pristine Archived Serum Samples

Following development of the ΔS-Cys-Alb assay, an unplanned event occurred in our laboratory in which it was needed. In short, a set of serum samples from stage I lung cancer patients and corresponding age, gender and smoking-status matched controls were undergoing glycan “node” analysis (38–40) as part of an unrelated project. The samples were collected under NIH-sponsorship by seasoned investigators with well-defined standard operating procedures and, on paper, should not have been any specimen integrity problems. As part of the glycan “node” assay, relative blood glucose concentrations were (unintentionally) determined. The relative blood glucose concentrations in these samples indicated unexpectedly elevated levels of blood glucose in the cases. We have previously observed that albumin glycation increases significantly over time in P/S samples exposed to thawed conditions (41); as such, despite the fact that there was a pristine paper trail associated with these samples, we decided to measure ΔS-Cys-Alb in them. The mean values of ΔS-Cys-Alb were significantly different between the cases and controls (p < 1 × 10–20; student’s t test) and there was nearly no overlap in their ΔS-Cys-Alb distributions (Fig. 9). Because ΔS-Cys-Alb is not a marker of stage I lung cancer, these data indicated an integrity discrepancy between the two sets of serum samples. On showing these data to the clinical investigators who had provided the samples, it was ultimately disclosed that the −80 °C freezers in which the control samples had been stored had experienced a power outage for about 3–4 days during a natural disaster. Using the combined rate law model described above (Eqs. 5–8) in combination with the average population values for ΔS-Cys-Alb determined here (Fig. 2), the trajectories of ΔS-Cys-Alb in fresh plasma and serum samples with low, average and high ΔS-Cys-Alb values running at low and high rates of reaction (where rates are largely dependent on P/S copper concentration) were calculated (Fig. 10). From the average ΔS-Cys-Alb with average decay rate curve for serum (red line in Fig. 10B) and the mean ± 95% CI of 5.2 ± 1.4 from the control set of samples from this lung cancer study (Fig. 9), it was estimated that the average control serum samples had been exposed to the equivalent of room temperature (−23 °C) for 23 h with lower and upper 95% CI-based bounds of 17 and 32 h—an estimate that aligns with the fact that despite losing power for 3–4 days, the freezers had not been opened.
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Fig. 9. ΔS-Cys-Alb results from a case study of serum samples with an excellent pedigree but in which an integrity discrepancy was suspected (see main text for details). The values of ΔS-Cys-Alb in the controls barely overlapped with those of the cases (receiver operating characteristic curve c-statistic = 0.96) and the mean value of ΔS-Cys-Alb was strongly significantly lower in the controls than it was in the cases (p < 1 × 10–20; two-tailed student’s t test). ΔS-Cys-Alb in the stage I lung cancer cases was essentially the same as it was in fresh samples from cancer free patients (cf. Figs. 2 and 8), meaning that the difference in ΔS-Cys-Alb between the cases and controls in this set cannot be because of the presence of cancer—leaving variable exposure to the thawed state as the only reasonable explanation for the difference observed. This was subsequently confirmed by the sample providers.

DISCUSSION

Utility of ΔS-Cys-Alb—ΔS-Cys-Alb serves as an effective indicator of P/S exposure to thawed conditions (temperatures > −30 °C (10, 11)) over time frames at 23 °C, 4 °C, and −20 °C (Fig. 3) that are well aligned with the stability of many important clinical analytes (42–44). As an endogenous marker that serves as a P/S QA tool, it is unique in that not only is its mechanism of formation known, but the chemical rate law governing its change in P/S over time has also been established. This level of characterization of an endogenous P/S QA marker is without precedent.

As illustrated in the blind challenge set of experiments, ΔS-Cys-Alb, in practice, may be measured and interpreted within questionable group(s) of samples or in individual samples that have no connection to any other samples whatsoever. In doing so, a single group may be statistically compared with the population mean of ΔS-Cys-Alb in fresh plasma or serum as established here. Multiple groups may be compared with one another (e.g., as in Fig. 8A) or to the aforementioned population means. The number of samples required from a group of plasma or serum samples to achieve at least 80% power to detect a particular exposure time at the equivalent of room temperature are provided in Table I. This table should serve as a useful guide with regard to planning QA/QC inquiries into existing plasma or serum sample sets. Individual samples, on the other hand, must be compared with a population distribution-based ΔS-Cys-Alb cutoff value—such as those 2.58 SDs below the population means established for plasma and serum in the individual-level blind challenge here.
Alignment with Theoretical Predictions—In most fresh samples, ΔS-Cys-Alb in plasma and serum is above 10% and 12%, respectively, (Fig. 2B). The observed range of ΔS-Cys-Alb in plasma and serum (Fig. 2B) lies in-line within the theoretical range of 11–38% for ΔS-Cys-Alb that can be predicted based on the average plasma concentrations of albumin, Cys-Cys and Cys observed in the human population (19–21). This predicted range of ΔS-Cys-Alb does not consider the possibility that other P/S proteins, such as alpha-1-antitrypsin may consume Cys-Cys/Cys equivalents in thawed P/S (45)—potentially accounting for slightly lower mean values of ΔS-Cys-Alb than predicted in both plasma and serum. Notably, the overall degree of inter-individual variability observed would be expected for any endogenous marker of P/S integrity.

Besides inter-individual differences in ΔS-Cys-Alb, there are inter-individual differences in the kinetics of ΔS-Cys-Alb decay over time at temperatures above the freezing point of P/S (Fig. 3). Predictions for the inter-individual variability in time course trajectories at 23 °C were provided (Fig. 10).
These are in line with the variability in person-to-person trajectory observed in Fig. 3. Notably, the time course trajectory for serum sample #008 tends to float above the other two samples at 23 °C and 4 °C but is aligned with the other two samples at −20 °C (Fig. 3). This did not occur for the plasma samples. As we have not yet determined the rate law at −20 °C this observation opens up a new question about the behavior of ΔS-Cys-Albumin in serum as it approaches its freezing point. At this point we do not yet have an evidence-backed explanation for this phenomenon. Most likely it is related to the facts that (1) plasma and serum do not exhibit simple eutectic behavior near their freezing point of −30 °C (46, 47) and (2) the seemingly unique properties of plasma and serum from this particular patient are mitigated by this non-eutectic behavior in serum but not plasma. This was an unexpected observation, but it does not contradict other data presented herein or diminish the functional utility of the ΔS-Cys-Alb assay with regard to its ability to detect minor exposures to thawed conditions within small groups of samples (Fig. 8A) or longer exposures in individual samples (Fig. BB); moreover, the potential variability within the time course trajectory predictions (kinetics) is well-estimated (Fig. 10). As such, given the potentially open-ended nature of an inquiry to explain this phenomenon, it will be investigated in a future study.

ΔS-Cys-Alb Differences Between Plasma and Serum—Matched plasma and serum samples were prepared (diluted) and run interspersed with one another on the LC-MS instrument—with the same analyst preparing both the plasma and serum samples. As such, systematic error is not likely to account for the observed difference between plasma and serum. The major source of the discrepancy in ΔS-Cys-Alb between matched plasma and serum samples was not the initial value of S-Cys-Alb but was rather the maximum value reached following incubation for 18 h at 37 °C (Fig. 2A). This discrepancy is not observed in all samples; yet the source of the discrepancy is unclear as it is not related to the difference in pre-centrifugation delay between the matched serum and plasma samples, nor is it related to serum clotting time (supplemental Fig. S9). Neither is it related to the visually documented degree of hemolysis or the difference in degree of hemolysis between plasma and serum (hemolysis data not shown). Clotting factors such as Factor XIII contain free Cys residues and may consume some free Cys and/or Cys-Cys equivalents during the clotting process—which in some, but not necessarily all cases (supplemental Fig. S9), may con-
ume a significant portion of available free Cys and/or Cys-Cys equivalents. This would make these equivalents unavailable for reaction with albumin during serum storage.

Matrix effects cannot yet be ruled out as a contributing explanation to the differences in ΔS-Cys-Alb between matched plasma and serum. These are unlikely to play a substantial role, however, because they would depend on there being some compositional difference between plasma and serum that varies in magnitude between individuals (reaching zero in some cases—see supplemental Fig. S9) and preferentially suppresses either the native or S-cysteinylated proteoform of albumin to a greater extent than the other form whereas both forms are present in P/S at relatively similar absolute concentrations. Moreover, nearly all of the difference is carried by the second measurement of S-Cys-Alb (Fig. 2A)—which would not be expected if matrix effects alone were responsible for the difference.

Given that the existence of a difference between plasma and serum does not impact the functional utility of the ΔS-Cys-Alb assay toward detection of samples that have been exposed to thawed conditions (Figs. 8–9) and that identification of the source(s) of the difference is currently an open-ended problem, identification of the source(s) will be addressed in a future study.

Importance and Limitations of the Rate Law—The chemical reactions that contribute to S-Cys-Alb formation in P/S ex vivo are known (Eqs. 1–2). This made it possible to move beyond empirical cataloguing of instability trends and actually determine rate laws and develop a mathematical model to facilitate (1) prediction of how the QA marker will behave across a wide range of reactant and product starting concentrations and (2) back-calculation of the approximate time at which a P/S specimen has been exposed to the temperature at which the rate law was determined (Fig. 10).

The initial rate law model developed here assumes that the concentration of dissolved oxygen, \([O_2(aq)]\), in P/S is not rate-limiting. This appeared to hold true in samples that possessed a physiologically normal concentration of Cys and Cys-Cys (Fig. 6). Two observations, however, suggested that the \([O_2(aq)]\) in P/S was very close to becoming rate-limiting: First, storage of unfortified plasma under nitrogen after initial processing was found to limit the overall formation of S-Cys-Alb (Fig. 7). And second, the rate at which S-Cys-Alb forms in unfortified P/S samples was accurately predicted by the model that does not take \([O_2(aq)]\) into account whereas the rate at which S-Cys-Alb forms in P/S samples fortified with extra Cys and Cys-Cys is significantly overestimated by this model (supplemental Fig. S7). Yet the rate at which S-Cys-Alb forms in both unfortified and fortified P/S can be predicted using a model that takes \([O_2(aq)]\) into account (supplemental Fig. S8). Thus, the present model based on Eqs. 5–8 alone, should be employed only under two conditions: First, when P/S samples are known to have been stored under air, and second, in patient populations without kidney disease requiring hemodialysis.

The rate and equilibrium constants associated with Eq. 2 were determined for Cu(II) in a 40 mM sodium phosphate buffer (26)—a solution wherein the copper ions would be complexed to the various protonated forms of phosphate ions present. In serum, however, 95% of copper is bound to ceruloplasmin (33) and in K2EDTA plasma essentially all of the copper is bound to the ~5 mM EDTA present. As such, the values of \(k_3\), \(K_y\) and \(K_z\) employed here—although shown to be reasonably accurate empirically (Fig. 6; supplemental Fig. S6)—are not necessarily accurate representations of these values as they exist in P/S. This may explain why the predicted rates of S-Cys-Alb formation in serum and plasma are, for the first several hours, faster and slower, respectively, than observed (Fig. 6). Transition metals besides copper—most prominently iron—may also play some role in catalyzing Eq. 2 in P/S. “Free” or nontransferrin bound iron is typically in the nM range in serum (48). Moreover, we have previously observed that Cu(II) ions are a far more efficient catalyst of intramolecular disulfide bond formation than are Fe(III) ions (49). As such, “free” iron in serum likely contributes negligibly to Eq. 2. In plasma, however, Fe(III)–EDTA may play a significant role in this reaction—potentially accounting, at least in part, for the higher-than-predicted initial rate of S-Cys-Alb formation (Fig. 6). Efforts are underway to develop a comprehensive rate law that takes \([O_2(aq)]\) and all relevant metals, complexed as they are within P/S, into account across the range of temperatures likely to be encountered by P/S samples.

Practical Impact of Storing P/S Under an Inert Atmosphere—We have previously shown that the degree of air headspace above P/S samples stored at −20 °C does not significantly impact the overall rate of S-Cys-Alb formation (18). However, dissolved oxygen is involved in the oxidation of Cys to Cys-Cys (Eq. 2) and its potential range in an aqueous solution such as P/S (0–250 μM (16, 17)) lies in the range of the total concentration of Cys equivalents in P/S—which includes the Cys equivalents in Cys-Cys and can exceed 150 μM. As such, we evaluated the impact of nitrogen as a headspace gas (relative to air) on the formation profile of S-Cys-Alb in plasma. The results (Fig. 7) suggest that once P/S samples are exposed to air, storing them under an inert atmosphere may provide a modest but significant ability to mitigate oxidative biomolecular damage.

Implications of Study Results for Single Measurements of S-Cys-Alb—Several studies have suggested that S-Cys-Alb may be useful as a marker of systemic oxidative stress in various disease conditions (50–53). As shown here, S-Cys-Alb’s susceptibility to change ex vivo undermines the utilization of S-Cys-Alb as a biomarker of oxidative stress unless extreme care is taken to rigorously document specimen exposure to thawed conditions before measurement. At the same time, the fact that the range of S-Cys-Alb observed in fresh samples overlaps with the range of maximum values of
S-Cys-Alb observed after samples have been intentionally incubated in the thawed state (Fig. 2A) precludes the use of a single measurement of S-Cys-Alb as a marker of P/S integrity.

Limitations of ∆S-Cys-Alb—Two known confounders place minor limits on the use of ∆S-Cys-Alb as a P/S QA tool: First, patients with poor kidney function who require hemodialysis are susceptible to abnormally elevated levels of circulating Cys and Cys-Cys (35); they may also have elevated levels of S-Cys-Alb (51, 54–56)—though these studies did not explicitly consider the possible ex vivo formation of S-Cys-Alb. Elevated S-Cys-Alb in vivo does not impact ∆S-Cys-Alb, but elevated circulating Cys and Cys-Cys may account for ∆S-Cys-Alb levels above 40%. Such samples would take slightly longer periods of time to reach the lower values of ∆S-Cys-Alb considered to represent samples exposed to thawed conditions. However, if the samples are known to come from kidney failure patients, this fact can potentially be considered vis-à-vis the rate law established above. Second, human albumin mutations represent the only qualitative ∆S-Cys-Alb assay confounder. These are rare in most populations (with average rates of 0.001–0.03% (57))—but even if such samples were measured, the highly accurate mass spectrometric measurements of the intact protein on which the ∆S-Cys-Alb assay is based would detect all but the inconsequential isolectric protein variants.

Linking ∆S-Cys-Alb to the Stability of Clinically Important Biomolecules—The degree to which the measurable quantities of other biomolecules, including unrelated proteins/proteoforms, lipids, and nucleic acids are impacted during the time it takes ∆S-Cys-Alb to reach zero will be described in separate publications. A few articles in the past several years have tabulated the stabilities of common clinical analytes in P/S, many of which are unstable within time frames that would readily be detected by ∆S-Cys-Alb (42–44). Such co-instability with ∆S-Cys-Alb illustrates that ∆S-Cys-Alb does not change too rapidly or too slowly to possess substantial QA/QC utility. Conceptually, we view the development of a low-volume, inexpensive P/S QA marker that is based on known chemical reactions and their established rate laws (i.e. mathematical model) that can be used to approximate the amount of time a specimen has spent at the equivalent of room temperature to represent a critical waypoint in biobanking quality assurance. Ultimately, however, setting a ∆S-Cys-Alb cutoff that defines samples as “bad” depends on the intended use(s) of the samples. Moreover, prioritizing the tradeoff between keeping/using “bad” samples and throwing away “good” samples will always involve economic as well as scientific considerations. This means that functionally clarifying the meaning of QA marker measurements will always be context-dependent. Yet because the kinetic behavior of ∆S-Cys-Alb has been well defined here (at least at 23 °C), the only “added ingredient” necessary to link clinically important biomarkers of interest to ∆S-Cys-Alb is to independently characterize their empirical stability in P/S at room temperature, which is often done as part of careful analytical method development. Once this has been done, the time point at which initial instability occurred can be mapped to a clinical marker-specific ∆S-Cys-Alb cutoff vis-à-vis the kinetics model established here (see, for example, Fig. 10). This will allow the rapid, inexpensive, low-volume measurement of ∆S-Cys-Alb in unknown samples to provide direct insights into the validity of clinical biomarker measurements in any archived P/S specimens, regardless of their presumed storage and handling history.

CONCLUSIONS

∆S-Cys-Albumin, measured via a low-volume (≤10 μl), dilute-and-shoot, LC/MS assay, is an effective protein oxidation-based QC/QA marker for plasma and serum exposure to thawed conditions (i.e. > −30 °C). Both the mechanism of ex vivo change for ∆S-Cys-Albumin and its rate law have been established. Though in need of fine tuning vis-à-vis determination of the rate law for oxidation of cysteine to cystine under conditions highly specific to ex vivo plasma and serum, the multireaction rate law governing the formation of S-Cys-Alb has been determined and empirically shown to be capable of accurately predicting S-Cys-Albumin formation in plasma and serum. Thus, when population averages of the relevant reactants are assumed, the combined rate law facilitates estimation of the time frame over which plasma and serum samples with unknown storage and handling histories have been exposed to the equivalent of room temperature conditions. As such, the stability of any clinical biomarker of interest can readily be linked to ∆S-Cys-Albumin by conducting a room temperature stability study of the marker—regardless of the mechanism by which it exhibits instability. When plasma and serum samples are grouped, we have shown that ∆S-Cys-Albumin can detect room temperature exposures of as little as 2 h—yet several days at room temperature are required for plasma and serum samples to reach the ∆S-Cys-Albumin minimum value of zero. By modeling the rate law using the population survey of fresh, matched plasma and serum from cardiac patients as input data, it can be seen that as few as 12 serum or 8 plasma samples from an unknown group are required to provide ≥ 80% power to detect 3 h of exposure to the equivalent of room temperature conditions. Mistreatment of individual samples can also be detected when their ∆S-Cys-Albumin values are 3 SDs below the population means (i.e. < 11% for plasma or 7.2% for serum). In summary, ∆S-Cys-Albumin readily identifies poorly stored or handled plasma and serum specimens and provides investigators a robust tool by which to prevent their inclusion in clinical research studies.
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DETAILED METHODS

Materials/Reagents

Highly purified human serum albumin (Cat. No. A3782), L-cysteine (C7352), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 299537), L-Cystine (30200), L-Cystine dihydrochloride (C2526), L-Cysteine-2,3,3,3-'D3 (701424), Amicon Ultra-4 centrifugal filter, MWCO = 50 kDa (Z740191), ammonium hydroxide (320145), ammonium bicarbonate (A6141), sodium sulfate (S9627), deferoxamine mesylate salt (D9533), HEPES (H3375) and NaCl (S7653) for preparation of HEPES-buffered saline solution (HBS buffer) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). LC-MS grade Acetonitrile (TS-51101), LC-MS grade water (TS-51140), hydrochloric acid (50-878-166), Pierce BCA protein assay kit (23227), and methanol (A456) were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). Chelex 100 resin (1421253) was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA). SPE cartridges (SPE-P0005-03BB) purchased from Silicycle (Quebec, Canada). L-Cystine-3,3',3',3'-D4 (DLM-9812-PK) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (Andover, MA). N-Methyl-N-Trimethylsilyl Trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) (24589-78-4) was purchased from Regis Technologies (Morten Grove, IL). All non-LC-MS solvents were of HPLC grade.

LC-ESI-MS

The data reported here were collected on different instruments using a modified HPLC step-gradient that provided nearly complete chromatographic separation of albumin and apolipoprotein A-I. Relative quantification of intact albumin proteoforms was done by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS) on either a Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC equipped with a 1:100 flow splitter connected to a Bruker maXis 4G
quadrupole-time-of-flight (Q-TOF) mass spectrometer or an Agilent 1260 Infinity II HPLC connected to an Agilent 6530 ESI-Q-TOF instrument. Both instruments have an ion source design in which the spray needle is held at ground and the inlet of the instrument is brought to a high negative potential in positive ion mode. The Agilent instrument was employed for time course measurements at different temperatures, linearity evaluation, and for \( \sim \frac{2}{3} \) of the \( \Delta S\text{-Cys-Alb} \) population measurements; the Bruker instrument was used for everything else. In the following instrument and data processing descriptions, Agilent instrument parameters are listed in brackets next to the Bruker instrument parameters: A trap-and-elute form of LC-MS was carried out in which 5 \( \mu \)L [10 \( \mu \)L] of sample was loaded via a loading pump at 10 \( \mu \)l/min [200 \( \mu \)l/min] in 80% water containing 0.1% formic acid (Solvent A) / 20% acetonitrile [containing 0.1% formic acid] (Solvent B) onto an Optimize Technologies protein captrap configured for uni-directional [bi-directional] flow on a 10-port diverter valve. The trap was then rinsed at this solvent composition with the HPLC loading pump at 10 \( \mu \)l/min [200 \( \mu \)l/min] for 3 minutes [1 minute]. The flow over the captrap was then switched to the micro pump, which was set at a flow rate of 3 \( \mu \)L/min [200 \( \mu \)L/min] and composition of 65/35 A/B. This composition was held until 4.5 min [2.5 min.]. From 4.5-4.6 min. [2.5-2.6 min.] the composition was ramped to 55/45 A/B then held. From 7.5-7.6 min. [5.5-5.6 min.] the composition was ramped to 20/80 A/B then held. Then from 9.4-9.5 min. [6.6-6.7 min.] the composition was ramped back to 80/20 A/B in preparation for the next injection. Following the valve switch at 3 minutes [1 minute], the captrap eluate was directed to the mass spectrometer operating in positive ion, TOF-only mode, acquiring spectra in the m/z range of 300 to 3000 [100 – 3,200]. ESI settings for the Agilent G1385A [dual AJS ESI] capillary microflow nebulizer ion source were as follows: End Plate Offset -500 V, Capillary -4500 V [VCap 5,700 V;
nozzle voltage (expt) 2,000 V, Nebulizer nitrogen 3 Bar [45 psig], Dry Gas nitrogen 3.0 L/min at 225 °C [7 L/min at 325 °C; sheath gas 11 L/min at 250 °C]. Data were acquired in profile mode at a digitizer sampling rate of 4 GHz. Spectra rate control was by summation at 1 Hz.

Data Analysis: As previously described (1), approximately 1 minute of recorded spectra were averaged across the chromatographic peak apex of albumin. The electrospray ionization charge-state envelope was deconvoluted with Bruker DataAnalysis v4.2 software [MaxEnt software] to a mass range of 1000 Da on either side of any identified peak. Charge deconvolution settings were established to ensure that the relative peak widths and signal-to-noise ratios of the raw spectra were reproduced in the deconvoluted spectra. Deconvoluted spectra were baseline subtracted to the same degree on both instruments, and all peak heights were calculated, tabulated and exported to a spreadsheet for further analysis. Peak heights were used for quantification as opposed to peak areas, because of the lack of baseline resolution for some of the peaks.

Rate Law Determination

The approach to rate law determination entailed measuring the initial rate of the forward (and, separately, reverse) reaction by plotting S-Cys-Alb (or AlbSH) concentration in molar units (M) vs. time (s). The initial phase of these plots was linear. From this initial linear phase, the slope was obtained and recorded as the initial rate (ν₀). This process was repeated for multiple starting concentrations of reactants in which none of the products were initially present.

This latter requirement made it necessary to create and isolate AlbSH in which no S-Cys-Alb was present and, for the reverse reaction, S-Cys-Alb in which essentially no AlbSH was
present. Pure AlbSH was prepared starting with a 1 mM concentration of commercially prepared albumin in HBS buffer (pH 7.4). The sample was aliquoted into 500 μL volumes and mixed with 300 μL of 10 mM Cys, followed by incubation for 1 hour at room temperature. After the incubation period, 1 μL of sample was diluted in 600 μL of 0.1% TFA and analyzed to verify complete reduction of albumin. The aliquots were then split into 200 μL aliquots in Amicon Ultra-4 centrifugal spin filters (MWCO 50K). Each sample had 4 mL of HBS buffer added and then was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4,000 x g to a final volume of approximately 200 μL, this process was repeated 7 times, facilitating Cys removal and protein concentration. All aliquots were then combined and albumin concentration was determined with a BCA protein assay, following the manufacturer’s protocol. In order to verify that no structural disulfide bonds were reduced and only the free Cys residue (Cys34) was reduced in the reduced sample, 5 μL of AlbSH was incubated with 5 μL of 50 mM maleimide in ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5). This was incubated at 50 °C for 15 minutes and then 1 μL of sample was mixed with 500 μL of 0.1% TFA and analyzed by LC-MS (supplemental Fig. S3). Pure S-Cys-Alb was obtained by following the same protocol but instead incubating 0.5 mM commercially prepared albumin with 1 mM Cys-Cys. All samples were stored at -80 °C until further analysis.

To eliminate interference from Rxn. 2 during the rate law determinations, trace quantities of copper and other transition metals were minimized by pre-treating all buffers with Chelex 100 resin per the manufacturer's batch-wise instructions. Desferrioxamine (0.2 mM) was also added to the buffers employed in rate law determinations. To determine initial rates, pure AlbSH at concentrations ranging from 30-60 μM or pure S-Cys-Alb (30-90 μM) were incubated with free Cys-Cys or Cys (300-900 μM) at 23 °C, respectively (supplemental Tables S1-S2). A control
sample with no added Cys-Cys or Cys was also prepared to ensure that no artefactual oxidation or reduction occurred. Time courses for albumin oxidation and reduction were acquired by diluting 0.5 μL of a sample into 0.1% TFA to a final concentration of 1 μM albumin at various time points, which was then analyzed by LC-MS to provide time point concentrations of S-Cys-Alb and AlbSH. Time points were taken more frequently during the initial linear portion which yielded a slope that was used to determine the initial rate of reaction for each of the varying concentrations. The method of initial rates was then used to determine the reaction orders and rate constants for the disulfide-exchange oxidation and reduction of albumin (2). Slopes of log $v_o$ vs. log reactant concentration (at several concentrations of the second reactant) were used to determine reaction orders and non-linear regression of the entire forward-reaction dataset and reverse reaction dataset was employed to determine the forward and reverse rate constants, respectively.

**Rate Law-Based Model Verification in Actual Serum and Plasma**

Immediately following collection, matched serum and K$_2$EDTA plasma from a healthy donor were each split into two 95-μL portions: One portion was spiked with Cys and Cys-Cys (in 5 μL of HBS buffer, pH 7.4) to increase the concentration of Cys by 12 μM and the concentration of Cys-Cys by 62 μM; the second portion was diluted by the same amount but without added Cys or Cys-Cys. These specimens were then incubated at 23 °C for 4 days, with numerous measurements of S-Cys-Alb collected initially and then at least once a day after Day 1. The data were then fit with the predictive model (using Wolfram Mathematica 10.2 or MatLab 2016), using the empirically determined initial concentrations of all species, determined as follows:
Measurement of Initial Reactant/Product Concentrations: Fresh aliquots of matched P/S samples were sent to ARUP Laboratories to determine concentrations of albumin (total), free copper and total copper. Initial fractions of S-Cys-Alb and AlbSH were determined using the S-Cys-Alb assay described above. These fractions were then converted to actual concentrations by multiplying by the total albumin concentration.

Cys-Cys concentration was determined from quadruplicate aliquots of serum and, separately, plasma using solid phase extraction (SPE) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) with procedures adapted from previously published reports (3-5). Calibration curve samples were prepared in 40 mg/ml albumin in HBS buffer (pH 7.4) with the addition of 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, or 90 μM of Cys-Cys. Three microliters of 2 mM Cys-Cys-d₄ internal standard in 1 M ammonium hydroxide and 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (pH 9.4) was combined with 96.5 μL of P/S and calibration curve samples, followed by 0.5 μL of 1 mM Cys-d₃ internal standard in 1 M ammonium hydroxide and 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (pH 9.4). Proteins were then immediately precipitated by first adding 200 μL of acetonitrile and then 300 μL of 0.2 M HCl which lowered the sample pH, minimizing disulfide exchange reactions. Samples were incubated on ice for 30 minutes and then centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13,000xg to remove protein precipitate. Sample supernatant was then stored on ice until ready for cation exchange SPE. The SPE cartridges were conditioned with 2 mL acetonitrile and then equilibrated with 2 mL of 0.1 M HCl. The entire sample was then loaded onto the cartridge and washed with 2 mL methanol. Samples were then eluted with 2 mL of 5% ammonium hydroxide in methanol into silanized glass test tubes and then dried down using a Savant SC250EXP SpeedVac Concentrator. After samples were dry, 50 μL of acetonitrile and 50 μL of N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide
(MSTFA) were added and then incubated for 30 minutes at 85 °C. Samples were then loaded into GC-MS autosampler vials and injected onto the GC-MS.

GC-MS analysis was carried out on an Agilent Model A7890 gas chromatograph (equipped with a CTC PAL autosampler) coupled to a Waters GCT (time-of-flight) mass spectrometer. One microliter of the sample was injected in split mode onto an Agilent split-mode liner that contained a small plug of silanized glass wool. The injector temperature was set at 280 °C and the split ratio was 5:1. The carrier gas was regulated in constant flow mode at 0.8 mL/min. The capillary column was a 30-m fused silica DB-5MS with a 0.25 μM film thickness and 0.250 mm inner diameter. The temperature program was started at 100 °C with initial holding for 1 minute and was increased at the rate of 10 °C/min to 300 °C and held for 3 minutes. The temperature was then increased 30 °C/min to 325 °C, with final holding of 3 minutes. The temperature of the transfer line to the MS was 280 °C. Mass spectra were obtained by standard (70 eV) electron ionization scanning from m/z 40 to 800 at a spectral accumulation rate of 0.09 seconds/spectrum.

Quantification was done by integrating summed extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) peak areas, using QuanLynx software. The peaks were integrated automatically and verified manually. The extracted ions (± 0.15 m/z units) used for quantification were: Cys-Cys (m/z 411.1 and 232.1), Cys-Cys-d₄ (m/z 415.1 and 234.1), Cys (m/z 220.1, 232.1, and 322.1), Cys-d₂ (which results from reduction of Cys-Cys-d₄ during derivatization as described below; m/z 222.1, 234.1, and 324.1) and Cys-d₃ (m/z 223.1, 235.1, and 325.1). All summed XIC peak integrals were exported to a spreadsheet for further analysis.
Cys-Cys is partially reduced to Cys during the derivatization step that is necessary to facilitate analysis by GC-MS. As such, Cys ions were used in the quantification of Cys-Cys. However, in order to use Cys in this quantification scheme it was necessary to subtract out any signal that was due to the endogenous Cys. This was done by spiking in 5 μM Cys-d3 into each sample, which is equivalent to the concentration of endogenous Cys in P/S samples (as explained in the next paragraph and illustrated in supplemental Fig. S4). The magnitude of the summed XIC areas from Cys-d3 was then assumed to represent the magnitude of the summed XIC areas from endogenous Cys. Thus, the total area of the summed XICs from Cys-d3 was subtracted from the summed XIC areas of endogenous Cys. All residual summed XIC peak area from Cys ions was then considered to be derived from Cys-Cys. Prior to making this calculation, however, isotopic overlap between natural forms of Cys ions and their d2-labeled internal standard counterparts was corrected for based on the known isotopic distribution of each fragment ion employed for quantification. This isotope correction procedure was analogous to that which has previously been employed and widely used elsewhere (6, 7).

Given the initial concentrations of AlbSH, S-Cys-Alb, free and total copper, and Cys-Cys determined above, all rate law models with initial Cys concentrations anywhere within the physiologically observed range (8, 9) revealed that by the time plasma and serum were separated from whole blood, the concentration of Cys in P/S had equilibrated to a steady state concentration of ~5 μM (supplemental Fig. S4). Given this information and the fact that, relative to Cys-Cys, Cys contributes ≤5% of the total Cys equivalents in P/S, an initial Cys concentration of 5 μM was assumed in all kinetic models.
Figure S1: Determination of the time required at 37 °C to maximize S-Cys-Alb in P/S samples. Empirical evaluation (panels a-b): a) Nine-microliter aliquots of matched K2EDTA plasma, sodium heparin plasma, and serum from a healthy donor were incubated in closed 0.6-mL test tube at 37 °C for up to 30 hrs. No significant differences were found between plasma and serum or between the two types of plasma. No changes were observed after 18 hrs at 37 °C. n = 3 per data point; error bars represent SD. b) Each P/S sample was divided into 3 portions; one was left unmodified, to the second was added 1 μM Cys and 10 μM Cys-Cys, and to the third was added 2 μM Cys and 20 μM Cys-Cys. The addition of Cys and Cys-Cys results in an increase in the maximum value of S-Cys-Alb observed, but does not increase the time frame required to reach the maximum obtainable value of S-Cys-Alb at 37 °C. Data from both types of plasma and serum were pooled and are displayed together as mean ± SD (n = 9 per data point).

Panels c-d provide theoretical trajectories for S-Cys-Alb formation in P/S based on the rate law model described for Fig. 6. These also reach a plateau by 18 hrs. Input parameters include age-weighted population averages for AlbSH (484 μM) and S-Cys-Alb (484 μM) (10,11), Cys-Cys (65 μM) (8,9), Cys (5 μM—see Fig. S4); the population average for total Cu(II) (18.7 μM) (12)—all of which is assumed catalytically available in plasma and 50% of which is assumed to be catalytically available in serum (see main text for rationale); \(k_3\) (0.32 M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \(K_y\) (5.1 x 10\(^{-6}\) M) and \(K_z\) (8.8 x 10\(^{-4}\) M) as determined by Kachur et al. at 37 °C (13); and \(k_1\) (0.6 M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and \(k_2\) (6.6 M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-2}\)) as recently determined by Bocedi et al. at 37 °C (14).
Figure S2: Linearity of S-Cys-Albumin measurements.

\[ y = 1.000x + 0.761 \]

\[ R^2 = 0.998 \]
**Figure S3:** Charge deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of the a) fully reduced and b) fully oxidized (S-cysteinylated) albumin employed for determination of the forward and reverse rate laws of the reactions shown in Rxn 1. c) To verify that no structural disulfide bonds were reduced in the former case, the sample was alkylated with maleimide. The mass shift of exactly +97 Da, with no peaks at +2*97 Da (66,633 Da, corresponding to two alkylation events) or +3*97 Da etc. indicate that the reduced albumin possessed only a single free Cys residue as expected.
Figure S4: Reaction kinetics models based on the empirically determined rate law (Eqns. 5-8) illustrating that by the time serum or plasma are processed from whole blood (~60 min), the concentration of Cys approaches a near steady-state concentration of about 5 μM—regardless of whether or not the initial concentration started at the low or high end of physiological Cys concentrations observed in human plasma (8, 9).
Figure S5. Kinetics simulations in which a) only free serum Cu(II) (0.4 μM), b) the ~5% of serum Cu(II) not bound to ceruloplasmin (0.63 μM), and c) all Cu(II) in serum (12.6 μM) is assumed to be catalytically available.
Figure S6 (continued on next page)
Figure S6. Kinetics simulations in which the Rxn 2 rate law constants $k_3$, $K_Y$ and $K_Z$ are individually changed to their 37 °C values or 10x below these values. a-b) Serum and plasma, respectively, where $k_3 = 0.32$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, the value established by Kachur et al. (13) at 37 °C; c-d) $k_3 = 0.032$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$; e-f) $K_Y = 5.1 \times 10^{-6}$ M, the value established by Kachur et al. (13) at 37 °C; g-h) $K_Y = 5.1 \times 10^{-7}$ M; i-j) $K_Z = 8.8 \times 10^{-4}$ M, the value established by Kachur et al. (13) at 37 °C; k-l) $K_Z = 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$ M.
Predicted and Experimentally Observed Formation of S-Cys-Alb in Serum at Room Temperature

Predicted S-Cys-Alb

Experimental S-Cys-Alb: RMSD (%CV) = 6.1%

Plus 62 μM CSSC & 12 μM Cys - Predicted S-Cys-Alb

Plus 62 μM CSSC & 12 μM Cys - Experimental S-Cys-Alb: RMSD (%CV) = 22%

Predicted and Experimentally Observed Formation of S-Cys-Alb in Plasma at Room Temperature

Predicted S-Cys-Alb

Experimental S-Cys-Alb: RMSD (%CV) = 6.3%

Plus 62 μM CSSC & 12 μM Cys - Predicted S-Cys-Alb

Plus 62 μM CSSC & 12 μM Cys - Experimental S-Cys-Alb: RMSD (%CV) = 29%

Figure S7: Observed and rate law model-predicted formation of S-Cys-Alb in matched a) serum and b) K₂EDTA plasma from a healthy donor. Circles represent natural, unfortified serum or plasma containing initially measured concentrations of AlbSH = 609 μM (serum) or 605 μM (plasma); S-Cys-Alb = 134 μM (serum) or 138 μM (plasma); Cys-Cys = 52 μM (serum) or 58 μM (plasma); Cys = 5 μM (inferred, not measured, see Results text and supplemental Fig. S4); and Cu(II) = 12.6 μM. Squares represent aliquots of the same samples into which extra Cys-Cys and Cys were fortified, bringing the final concentration of Cys-Cys to 114 μM (serum) or 120 μM (plasma) and Cys to 17 μM (serum & plasma). Dashed lines represent rate model-predicted trajectories for S-Cys-Alb formation based on numerical solutions to Eqns. 5-8 employing the rate and equilibrium constant parameters described in the main text. The poor model fit for samples fortified with extra Cys-Cys and Cys appears to be due to the concentration of dissolved oxygen, [O₂(aq)], becoming rate limiting under these fortified conditions (supplemental Fig. S8). Cys-Cys is abbreviated as CSSC in the legends.
**Chemical Reactions**

\[ \text{AlbSH} + \text{Cys-Cys} \xrightarrow{k_1} \frac{k_1}{k_2} \text{SCysAlb} + \text{Cys} \]  
\[ 2\text{Cys} + \text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Cu(II)}} \frac{k_1}{k_3} \] \[ \text{Cys-Cys} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{Cu(I)} + \text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{k_4} \text{Cu(II)} + \text{O}_2^- \]

**Rate Equations**

\[ \frac{d[\text{AlbSH}]}{dt} = -k_1[\text{AlbSH}][\text{Cys-Cys}] + k_2[\text{SCysAlb}][\text{Cys}] \]  
\[ \frac{d[\text{Cys-Cys}]}{dt} = -k_1[\text{AlbSH}][\text{Cys-Cys}] + k_2[\text{SCysAlb}][\text{Cys}] + \frac{k_3[\text{Cu(II)}][\text{Cys}]}{K_x \left(1 + \frac{K_y}{[\text{Cys}]}\right) + [\text{Cys}]} \]  
\[ \frac{d[\text{SCysAlb}]}{dt} = k_1[\text{AlbSH}][\text{Cys-Cys}] - k_2[\text{SCysAlb}][\text{Cys}] \]  
\[ \frac{d[\text{Cys}]}{dt} = k_1[\text{AlbSH}][\text{Cys-Cys}] - k_2[\text{SCysAlb}][\text{Cys}] - 2 \left( \frac{k_3[\text{Cu(II)}][\text{Cys}]}{K_x \left(1 + \frac{K_y}{[\text{Cys}]}\right) + [\text{Cys}]} \right) \]  
\[ \frac{d[\text{Cu(II)}]}{dt} = \frac{2}{K_x \left(1 + \frac{K_y}{[\text{Cys}]}\right) + [\text{Cys}]} + k_4[\text{Cu(I)}][\text{O}_2] \]  
\[ \frac{d[\text{Cu(I)}]}{dt} = 2 \left( \frac{k_3[\text{Cu(II)}][\text{Cys}]}{K_x \left(1 + \frac{K_y}{[\text{Cys}]}\right) + [\text{Cys}]} \right) - k_4[\text{Cu(I)}][\text{O}_2] \]  
\[ \frac{d[\text{O}_2^-]}{dt} = k_{O_2} - k_4[\text{Cu(I)}][\text{O}_2] \]

**Figure S8. Kinetics model and simulations that take O_{2(aq)} into account.**

**Part a**) Chemical and mathematical rate equations. Rxns. 10-11 break apart Rxn. 2 to show how O_{2(aq)} recycles Cu(I) into Cu(II) and allows Cu(II) to serve as the reaction catalyst. The rate law described by Kachur et al. (13) for Rxn. 2 omits O_2 (i.e., assumes it is not rate limiting) and takes into account the equilibrium binding affinities for the first and second Cys liganding to Cu(II). Thus, strictly speaking, it is actually the rate law for Rxn. 10—but one in which the Cu(I) produced is assumed to be immediately recycled back to Cu(II). When O_{2(aq)} is in short supply, Rxn. 11 can become rate limiting and must be taken into account in the model.

Taking O_{2(aq)} into account requires three additional differential equations (Eqns. 12-14), two additional reaction components (O_{2(aq)} and Cu(I)) and two additional rate constants (k_4 and k_{O_2}). Simulations based on this model (see Fig. S8 Part b) should be considered speculative because not all of these parameters are known: O_{2(aq)} was estimated at 30% saturation (70 µM) and Cu(I) was assumed to initially exist only in trace quantities (i.e., < 1% of total Cu or 0.1 µM). k_4 will vary depending on how Cu(II) is bound in P/S. A value of 200 M^{-1} s^{-1} was employed based on the known rate constant for the reaction of O_{2(aq)} with the Fe(II)-EDTA complex (15) (that for the Cu(I)-EDTA complex is unknown). k_{O_2} is a constant that describes the rate at which O_{2(g)} from the headspace above the P/S sample dissolves, becoming how O_{2(aq)}. It was estimated at 1 x 10^{-10} M/s.
Figure S8 (Part b, continued on next page)
Figure S8. Kinetics model and simulations that take $O_2(aq)$ into account.

Part b) Model predictions (lines), overlaid on actual results (symbols), that take into account best estimates for the additional parameters (reaction components and rate constants) required for an $O_2(aq)$–dependent model as described in Part a. Here, panels a-b, correspond to serum and K$_2$EDTA plasma with starting $O_2(aq)$ = 70 μM, respectively. All other parameters in the model exist as described in Fig. 6. Additional panels vary the starting concentration of one reaction component or one rate constant at a time (generally -10x or +10x unless physically unreasonable) to evaluate its effect on the model. c-d) Starting $O_2(aq)$ = 250 μM (saturation); e-f) Starting $O_2(aq)$ = 7 μM; g-h) $k_4 = 2,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; i-j) $k_4 = 20 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; k-l) $k_{O2} = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M/s}$; m-n) $k_{O2} = 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ M/s}$ (This simulates placing P/S into a nitrogen (low $O_2(g)$) atmosphere following initial processing (which permits a modest initial concentration of $O_2(aq)$ to develop in the sample—i.e., ~ 70 μM). The trajectory difference between the predicted and observed formation of S-Cys-Alb matches, approximately, the difference between the unfortified plasma sample incubated under nitrogen and the one incubated under air in Fig. 7.) Cys-Cys is abbreviated as CSSC in the legends.
Figure S9. The difference between matched plasma and serum sample ΔS-Cys-Alb values was not correlated to a) the pre-centrifugation time difference between plasma and serum or b) serum pre-centrifugation (clotting) time. (p > 0.05 in both cases; Spearman correlation).
Table S1. Initial concentrations employed for determining the rate law for S-cysteinylation (oxidation) of albumin (AlbSH) by cystine (Cys-Cys). Values listed inside the pivot table are initial rates in units of M/s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[AlbSH]₀ (M)</th>
<th>[Cys-Cys]₀ (M)</th>
<th>0.0003</th>
<th>0.00045</th>
<th>0.0006</th>
<th>0.00075</th>
<th>0.0009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>6.68E-10</td>
<td>9.35E-10</td>
<td>1.31E-09</td>
<td>1.33E-09</td>
<td>2.38E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000045</td>
<td>1.61E-09</td>
<td>1.85E-09</td>
<td>2.67E-09</td>
<td>3.52E-09</td>
<td>4.67E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>1.69E-09</td>
<td>2.21E-09</td>
<td>2.8E-09</td>
<td>4.06E-09</td>
<td>4.88E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000075</td>
<td>2.25E-09</td>
<td>2.84E-09</td>
<td>4.77E-09</td>
<td>5.92E-09</td>
<td>6.87E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>2.88E-09</td>
<td>5.33E-09</td>
<td>5.12E-09</td>
<td>7.9E-09</td>
<td>8.61E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Initial concentrations employed for determining the rate law for reduction of S-cysteinylated albumin (S-Cys-Alb) by cysteine (Cys). Values listed inside the pivot table are initial rates in units of M/s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[S-Cys-Alb]₀ (M)</th>
<th>[Cys]₀ (M)</th>
<th>0.0003</th>
<th>0.00045</th>
<th>0.0006</th>
<th>0.00075</th>
<th>0.0009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>3.008E-08</td>
<td>4.84E-08</td>
<td>6.0667E-08</td>
<td>7.79E-08</td>
<td>9.75E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000045</td>
<td>4.679E-08</td>
<td>6.091E-08</td>
<td>7.608E-08</td>
<td>1.12E-07</td>
<td>1.33E-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>8.413E-08</td>
<td>9.616E-08</td>
<td>1.1294E-07</td>
<td>1.26E-07</td>
<td>1.67E-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3: Starting reactant and product concentrations for Fig. 10 and Table 1. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alb_S</th>
<th>AlbSH</th>
<th>S-Cys-Alb</th>
<th>Cys-Cys</th>
<th>Cys</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fraction S-Cys-Alb</th>
<th>ΔS-Cys-Alb (as fraction)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average P/S ΔS-Cys-Albumin</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High P/S ΔS-Cys-Albumin (Avg. Rate)</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low P/S ΔS-Cys-Albumin (Avg. Rate)</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High P/S ΔS-Cys-Albumin (Slow Rate)</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High P/S ΔS-Cys-Albumin (Fast Rate)</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low P/S ΔS-Cys-Albumin (Fast Rate)</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low P/S ΔS-Cys-Albumin (Slow Rate)</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*All concentrations are in units of micromolar

\*Fraction S-Cys-Alb and ΔS-Cys-Alb are based on the population values determined here. Total albumin (Alb_{tot}) is based on the U.S. age distribution weighted population average for serum albumin (10,11). Cu(ii) is based on the U.S. population average concentration of copper in serum (12); values for the kinetics model are halved in serum due to the sequestration of copper in ceruloplasmin as explained in the main text. Cys is fixed at 5 micromolar as explained in the main text. Cys-Cys was set based on Alb_{tot} and the targeted ΔS-Cys-Alb (as fraction) value. AlbSH and S-Cys-Alb were set based on the targeted Fraction S-Cys-Alb value.

\*High/Low ΔS-Cys-Albumin values are based on 2 SDs above/below the population mean. Fast/slow rates are based on copper concentrations that are 2 SDs above/below the population mean and Fraction S-Cys-Alb that is 2 SDs below/above the population mean.
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